UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/993,054	11/06/2001	Merrit N. Jacobs	CDS-255	9036
27777 PHILIP S. JOH	7590 02/25/200 NSON	EXAMINER		
JOHNSON & J	OHNSON	GORDON, BRIAN R		
	N & JOHNSON PLAZ VICK, NJ 08933-7003		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1797	
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/25/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		A	pplication No.		Applicant(s)			
		C	9/993,054		JACOBS ET AL.			
		E	xaminer		Art Unit			
		В	rian R. Gordon		1797			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appear	rs on the cover sheet	with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provisions 'SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comn e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum st are to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a nunication. s0) days, a reply with atutory period will a will, by statute, cau). In no event, however, may hin the statutory minimum of pply and will expire SIX (6) N use the application to become	a reply be time thirty (30) days to the thirty (30) days to the thirty (30) days to the thirty to th	ely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status								
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>10-18-0</u>	<u>7</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition	for allowance	except for formal m	atters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is		
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>19-23</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)🛛	☑ Claim(s) <u>19-23</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	_							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
′—	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>4-2-07</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to							
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation	documents hadocuments hadocuments had of the priority onal Bureau (F	ave been received. ave been received ir documents have be PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application	on No ed in this National	Stage		
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	270.040		w Summary				
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or er No(s)/Mail Date	•			ite atent Application (PT	O-152)		

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on October 18, 2007 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed September 18, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. As stated in the Advisory Action of September 28, 2007, applicant has amended the claim to insert the phrase "sharp demarcation" to describe the transition zone. The term "sharp" is relative. What may be considered sharp to one individual may not be considered sharp to the next. The phrase does not add any further limiting structure to the device. Applicant states the zone of Byrd is "smooth". The term "smooth" like "sharp" is also relative. Furthermore the examiner asserts the narrowing, tapering, or sloping portion of the transition zone of Byrd maybe referenced as being "sharp" if one chooses.

As such, the previous rejection as based upon Byrd is hereby maintained.

Application/Control Number: 09/993,054 Page 3

Art Unit: 1797

Claim Interpretation

2. The examiner notes the rotational motion of aspirated liquid caused by the varying diameters is a rotational motion in the vertical direction (figs. 2A-2C) not horizontally as commonly seen in washing machines, blenders, etc.

Drawings

3. The drawings were received on April 02, 2007. These drawings are acceptable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 20-22 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Byrd US 1,547,562.

Byrd discloses a pipette assembly that comprises a tube (probe) attached to a variously-diametered pipette tip. As seen the figures the device structurally equivalent to that as claimed by applicant. The diameter of the diluting chamber 9 is used for agitation and appears to be at 3 times the diameter of the adjacent chambers.

As to incorporation of the pump, Byrd discloses the use of a suction tube 3 to which a mouthpiece 4 may be attached and an operator (pump) can provide suction in tube and pipette to draw blood therein in a well known manner (page 1, lines 85-90).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 09/993,054 Page 4

Art Unit: 1797

6. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

7. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Byrd.

Byrd does not disclose the tip portion as a being configured as having the middle cavity being formed of removably mounted elements.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to recognize that the tip portion may be manufactured to be assembled in multiple parts. It is conventionally known in the art that pipette tips may be manufactured to be disposable (prevention of cross contamination) as well as multiple pieces allow for ease of cleaning the device and ease of replacing parts rather than the entire device if only a portion is of the device is defective.

8. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Byrd as applied to claims 19-22 above, and further in view of Zabetakis et al., US 5,773,305 or in the alternative Elkins US 4,119,125.

Byrd does not specify the use of a piston-cylinder pump.

Zabetakis et al. and Elkins both disclose the use of a piston-cylinder pump assemble to aspirate and dispense a fluid such as blood.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to recognize the device of Byrd may be modified to include a piston-cylinder assembly attached to the suction tube to provide the required suction therein.

Conclusion

No claims allowed.

Application/Control Number: 09/993,054 Page 5

Art Unit: 1797

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian R. Gordon whose telephone number is 571-272-1258. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 1st Fri. Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 571-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Brian R Gordon/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1797